



Tree Physiology 33, 1123–1131
doi:10.1093/treephys/tpt100



Editorial: Part of a special section on the Flakaliden experiments

Three decades of research at Flakaliden advancing whole-tree physiology, forest ecosystem and global change research

Michael G. Ryan^{1,2,3}

¹Natural Resource Ecology Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 89523, USA; ²USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA; ³Corresponding author (mike.ryan@colostate.edu)

Received September 24, 2013; accepted October 8, 2013; handling Editor Danielle Way

Nutrient supply often limits growth in forest ecosystems and may limit the response of growth to an increase in other resources, or to more favorable environmental factors such as temperature and soil water. To explore the consequences and mechanisms of optimum nutrient supply for forest growth, the Flakaliden research site was established in 1986 on a young Norway spruce site with nutrient-poor soil. This special section on research at Flakaliden presents five papers that explore different facets of nutrition, atmospheric CO₂ concentration, [CO₂], and increased temperature treatments, using the original experiment as a base. Research at Flakaliden shows the dominant role of nutrition in controlling the response of growth to the increased photosynthesis promoted by elevated [CO₂] and temperature. Experiments with whole-tree chambers showed that all treatments (air temperature warming, elevated [CO₂] and optimum nutrition) increased shoot photosynthesis by 30–50%, but growth only increased with [CO₂] when combined with the optimum nutrition treatment. Elevated [CO₂] and temperature increased shoot photosynthesis by increasing the slope between light-saturated photosynthesis and foliar nitrogen by 122%, the initial slope of the light response curve by 52% and apparent quantum yield by 10%. Optimum nutrition also decreased photosynthetic capacity by 17%, but increased it by 62% in elevated [CO₂], as estimated from wood δ¹³C. Elevated air temperature advanced spring recovery of photosynthesis by 37%, but spring frost events remained the controlling factor for photosynthetic recovery, and elevated [CO₂] did not affect this. Increased nutrient availability increased wood growth primarily through a 50% increase in tracheid formation, mostly during the peak growth season. Other notable contributions of research at Flakaliden include exploring the role of optimal nutrition in large-scale field trials with foliar analysis, using an ecosystem approach for multifactor experiments, development of whole-tree chambers allowing inexpensive environmental manipulations, long-term deployment of shoot chambers for continuous measurements of gas exchange and exploring the ecosystem response to soil and aboveground tree warming. The enduring legacy of Flakaliden will be the rich data set of long-term, multifactor experiments that has been and will continue to be used in many modeling and cross-site comparison studies.

Keywords: autotrophic respiration, δ¹³C, elevated [CO₂], long-term research, Norway spruce, photosynthetic recovery, *Picea abies*, soil respiration, soil warming, temperature, tree nutrition, whole-tree chamber, wood formation.

Introduction

Low nutrient availability (mainly nitrogen, N) has often been identified as an important factor limiting growth in boreal forests (Tamm 1991, Binkley and Högberg 1997, Bergh et al. 1999, 2005, Hyvönen et al. 2007, Lukac et al. 2010). The

Flakaliden research site was established in 1986 with the aim of assessing nutrient and water limitations, and determining the potential productivity under optimal nutrition and irrigation for young forests in northern Sweden (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999). The study was designed to be a long-term exploration

of resource limitation using the then newly identified concepts of production efficiency (biomass production per unit of light absorbed (Linder 1985) or leaf area (Waring 1983)) and light-use efficiency (Monteith 1977, Jarvis and Leverenz 1983)—particularly how nutrition altered light absorption and use.

Flakaliden is located in northern Sweden ~15 km southwest of Vindeln (64.1134N, 19.4737E, 310 m elevation). The climate is mid-boreal (Sjörs 1999) with a mean annual temperature of 2.4 °C, and mean annual precipitation of ~600 mm, enough for soil water content to not normally limit tree growth (Bergh et al. 1999). One-third of the precipitation falls as snow, which usually covers the frozen ground from mid-October to early May. Flakaliden has long, cool days in the summer (14.6 °C mean temperature in July for 1990–2009), and cold, short days in winter (−7.5 °C mean temperature in February for 1990–2009). The length of the growth season, when daily mean air temperature is ≥ 5 °C, averages ~150 days, with large between-year variability. Soil at the site is a thin, very rocky, well-developed iron podzolic and sandy post-glacial till of gneissic origin with a mean depth of ~120 cm and a 2- to 6-cm-thick humus layer, with low nutrient availability (Bergh et al. 1999). Nitrogen deposition in the region averages 3 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (references cited in Sigurdsson et al. 2013), and the overstory is Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst.).

Flakaliden is particularly suited for understanding the role of nutrition and interactions with other resources and the environment, because nutrients are very limited, particularly N (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999). As an example of nutrient limitations to tree growth at Flakaliden, 10 years of optimal nutrient application increased annual stem wood volume increment to 14 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ compared with 3 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on control plots (Bergh et al. 1999). After 24 years of optimal nutrition, representative trees in the optimal nutrition treatment were 4 m taller than the control trees, and had ~4× the aboveground biomass (Sigurdsson et al. 2013).

The original nutrient optimization experiment was established in a Norway spruce forest that was planted in 1963 with local provenance 4-year-old seedlings after the site had been clear-felled, burned and scarified (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999). This experiment had 32 large plots (50 × 50 m) for control, irrigation, optimum fertilization, irrigation plus optimum fertilization and other treatments replicated four times in a randomized block design. By 2004, the irrigated and optimally fertilized treatment had cumulatively received 1125 kg N ha⁻¹ and all other nutrients in optimum proportion to N (Sigurdsson et al. 2013). Photographs of a control and an irrigated plus optimum fertilization plot can be seen in Strengbom et al. (2011).

A key focus of the research over the second and third decades of the study has been the interactions among nutrition and atmospheric CO₂ concentration, [CO₂], temperature and water at the whole tree and ecosystem scale, using the control and irrigation plus optimum fertilization treatments.

Important contributions of research at Flakaliden

Research at Flakaliden has made enormous contributions to our understanding of the role of nutrient supply in boreal forest growth and ecosystem function, in addition to clearly identifying the critical importance of nutrient availability in the response of forest growth to increased air temperature and elevated [CO₂] described below. These contributions include: (i) exploring the role of optimal nutrition in large-scale field trials using foliar nutrition analysis (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999); (ii) exploring the consequences of optimal nutrient supply on tree growth (Bergh et al. 1999) and ecosystem function with many studies focused at the same site, including root growth (Majdi 2001, Majdi and Öhrvik 2004), mycorrhizal function (Fransson et al. 2000), water use (Phillips et al. 2001) and light interception (Stenberg et al. 1995, 1999, Palmroth et al. 2002), providing a very rich data set for model development and application (Bergh et al. 1998, Bergh and Linder 1999, McMurtrie et al. 2000, 2001, Medlyn et al. 2000, Eliasson et al. 2005, Pepper et al. 2005); (iii) pioneering the development and use of whole-tree chambers to independently manipulate [CO₂], temperature, humidity, nutrient supply for the aboveground portion of a tree and eventually to enable the measurement of the aboveground tree carbon balance (Medhurst et al. 2006); (iv) application of shoot chambers (Wallin et al. 1990) to continually measure shoot gas exchange before, during and after the growth season to study environmental regulation and extrapolation (Hall et al. 2009, 2013, Uddling and Wallin 2012, Wallin et al. 2013); (v) exploring the mechanisms of an initial increase and ultimate homeostasis of soil respiration to soil warming (Strömrgren 2001, Eliasson et al. 2005), and the effect of soil warming on nutrient availability and forest growth (Strömrgren and Linder 2002); and finally (vi) maintenance of the original nutrient optimization and irrigation experiment that enabled many additional experiments, and allowed the long-term treatment response to be explored.

One keystone contribution was taking the discoveries about the role of balanced nutrient *supply* developed for seedling growth (for example, Ingestad 1979, and other papers listed in Linder 1995) and applying them to a developing forest (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999). Using foliar analysis and targeting nutrient concentrations, sustained annual increases in wood production of ~400% were achieved at Flakaliden without leaching nutrients below the rooting zone into groundwater (Linder 1995, Bergh et al. 1999). A key finding of several years of foliar analysis on the suite of treatments throughout the growth season was that nutrient ratios relative to nitrogen in 1-year-old foliage were less sensitive to seasonal changes in carbohydrate concentrations. Using ratios of nutrients to nitrogen enables the optimum nutrition concept to be more easily applied on an operational basis.

The development of the whole-tree chambers at Flakaliden was a major technological advance for whole-tree physiology.

Controlling [CO₂], temperature and humidity with a relatively low chamber net air exchange (Medhurst et al. 2006) enables lower cost experiments on the dominant tree in the ecosystem. In the studies described below, the whole-tree chambers helped promote our mechanistic understanding of interactions among elevated [CO₂], temperature and nutrition. These chambers continue to be used for whole-tree experiments including soil water availability in Australia (Barton et al. 2010, 2012) as part of the Hawkesbury Forest Experiment at the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment at the University of Western Sydney (Figure 1).

A notable contribution of research at Flakaliden was the early identification of a reduction of soil respiration to nearly that of the unheated treatment after an initial spike (Strömngren 2001, Eliasson et al. 2005). These results have also been seen in a temperate forest and a temperate grassland (Luo et al. 2001, Melillo et al. 2002). Analysis of the Flakaliden soil respiration data with a simulation model suggests that the faster decomposition of the most labile pools of soil carbon causes the initial spike but quickly depletes the labile pool (Eliasson et al. 2005). Warmer temperatures also maintain a higher decomposition rate of a smaller labile pool, resulting in about the same heterotrophic respiration after the initial spike (Eliasson et al. 2005). Higher nutrient availability and tree growth in the plots with soil heating (Strömngren and Linder 2002), coupled with similar soil respiration for the heated and unheated plots after the initial spike (Strömngren 2001, Eliasson et al. 2005), also suggest lower partitioning of photosynthesis belowground in the heated treatment (Giardina and Ryan 2002).

The original study and those that capitalized on the original design contributed enormously to knowledge on the consequences of nutrient availability on ecosystem and tree-level physiology, and on the interactions of nutrition and forest responses to elevated [CO₂] and temperature. This research has

made Flakaliden a globally important site for research on the response of boreal forests to nutrition and global change. Data from Flakaliden have provided grist for many modeling studies and cross-site comparisons of ecosystem function and remote sensing (see Appendix S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Studies at Flakaliden or data from Flakaliden have to date contributed to 245 publications in refereed journals, 65 book chapters, books and proceedings publications, as well as 59 dissertations and theses (see Appendix S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online).

Photosynthesis response to elevated [CO₂] and temperature

Leaf-level photosynthesis consistently increases in experiments that elevate [CO₂], and the elevated photosynthesis generally persists even though photosynthetic capacity and stomatal conductance can decrease over time (see reviews by Curtis 1996, Saxe et al. 1998, Medlyn et al. 1999, Ainsworth and Long 2005, Wang et al. 2012). In boreal forests and at Flakaliden, elevated [CO₂] also consistently increased photosynthesis (Robertz and Stockfors 1998, Sigurdsson et al. 2002, Riikonen et al. 2008, Hall et al. 2009, Uddling and Wallin 2012, Marshall and Linder 2013, Wallin et al. 2013). Studies in two separate experiments with whole-tree chambers (Figure 2) have measured consistent increases in photosynthesis with elevated [CO₂] under conditions of poor nutrient availability (control 33–67%, Table 1) and optimal nutrient supply (55–62%, Table 1), and by 50% in conditions of poor nutrient availability with air temperature increased 2.8 °C above the ambient during the growth season (Table 1, Hall et al. 2009, 2013, Uddling and Wallin 2012, Marshall and Linder 2013, Wallin et al. 2013). These increases in photosynthesis were sustained over the 3-year duration of each experiment.

For the Flakaliden experiments, an artificial neural network coupled with an empirical photosynthesis model and continuous measurements of shoot photosynthesis showed that the elevated [CO₂] increased seasonal photosynthesis by 44% and the initial slope of the light response function by 52% in the nutrient-poor treatment (Hall et al. 2013). Maximum photosynthesis rates also increased 122% with increased foliar N based on the tree-to-tree variability in N among the trees in the nutrient-poor treatments (Table 1, Hall et al. 2013). Carbohydrates produced by photosynthesis, integrated over the growth season and incorporated into wood, indicated that photosynthesis increased 38% in elevated [CO₂] (700 ppm) for nutrient-poor trees under ambient air temperature, but increased 60% in elevated [CO₂] for optimally fertilized trees (Marshall and Linder 2013). In this study, wood growth only increased for the optimally fertilized trees (Sigurdsson et al. 2013). Interestingly, in the Marshall and Linder (2013) study, bulk foliage tissue δ¹³C showed contamination from years prior to the elevated [CO₂] treatment, but δ¹³C



Figure 1. Infrared camera (FLIR) photograph showing control (orange) and elevated temperatures (yellow) in new experiments with the whole tree chambers at the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment at the University of Western Sydney. (Photo J. Marshall.)



Figure 2. A whole-tree chamber enclosing a tree grown under ambient (poor) nutrient availability, ambient air temperature and ambient $[\text{CO}_2]$. (Photo S. Linder.)

from bulk wood tissue did not. Marshall and Linder (2013) conclude that $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for conifer leaves should not be used to infer integrated intercellular $[\text{CO}_2]$ because of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ contamination by turnover of starch reserves and other metabolic pools.

Annual tree radial and volume growth in boreal forests is strongly correlated with growth season air temperature or temperature sums (Henttonen 1984, Briffa et al. 1990, Miina 2000, Seo et al. 2008, Lloyd et al. 2011). At Flakaliden, elevating temperatures around nutrient-poor trees increased annual leaf carbon uptake by 44% (Hall et al. 2013), primarily because of the increased length of time photosynthesis could operate through earlier budbreak (Slaney et al. 2007) and both earlier spring onset and later fall cessation of photosynthesis (Hall et al. 2013, Wallin et al. 2013). The combination of elevated temperature and elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ increased maximum rates of photosynthesis by 67% for nutrient-poor trees and increased annual leaf carbon uptake by 50% compared with ambient conditions; there were no clear interactive effects of temperature and $[\text{CO}_2]$ on parameter values of the photosynthesis model (Hall et al. 2013).

Elevated air temperature in the whole-tree chambers increased early season (March–June) leaf photosynthesis by

increasing apparent quantum yield and light-saturated photosynthesis and by a 10-day acceleration of the recovery of these values in the spring (Table 1, Slaney et al. 2007, Wallin et al. 2013). Elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ also increased early season leaf photosynthesis by increasing the recovery of apparent quantum yield and light-saturated photosynthesis (Table 1, Wallin et al. 2013). However, frosts delayed the onset of complete photosynthetic recovery in all treatments, suggesting that climate change increases in air temperature will only aid photosynthetic recovery in the spring if frost events become rarer. The study also suggests that frost events, not accumulated temperature, are the key to understanding spring photosynthetic recovery (Wallin et al. 2013). Elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ had small or mixed effects on photosynthetic recovery in the spring under the current ambient air temperature (Wallin et al. 2013).

Results from Flakaliden and other studies with elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ suggest some important lessons for modeling photosynthesis to predict future ecosystem fluxes under global change. First, elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ can promote lower photosynthetic capacity (Robertz and Stockfors 1998, Smith and Dukes 2013), even if photosynthesis at the higher $[\text{CO}_2]$ remains greater than that at current $[\text{CO}_2]$. Second, photosynthesis remains enhanced under elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$, even when other resources or environments limit aboveground growth sinks (Sigurdsson et al. 2013, and other studies described in the following section). This photosynthetic enhancement may occur to increase nutrient acquisition (Phillips et al. 2011), or because feedbacks to photosynthesis are poorly developed and increased carbohydrate can be lost through respiration (Robertz and Stockfors 1998, Ceschia 2001). Third, even though enhanced carbohydrate levels and warmer temperatures can speed spring recovery of photosynthesis for boreal conifers, it is frost occurrence, not recovery rate that ultimately determines seasonal integrated photosynthesis (Wallin et al. 2013). These insights suggest that models for global change predictions will need to incorporate additional factors to accurately estimate photosynthesis for boreal conifers: (i) feedbacks between nutrient availability and demand and carbon partitioning; (ii) feedbacks between carbon demand and photosynthesis and respiration; and (iii) frost occurrence and frequency.

Nutrition regulates the response of growth to elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ and temperature

Tree biomass growth does not always increase with elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ and temperature (Koch and Mooney 1996, Curtis and Wang 1998, Nowak et al. 2004, Körner 2006, Hyvönen et al. 2007, Way and Oren 2010, Wang et al. 2012). In boreal forests, the increased photosynthesis observed under elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ sometimes increased biomass growth (Peltola et al. 2002, Riikonen et al. 2008), and sometimes not (Kubiske et al. 1998, Sigurdsson et al. 2001, Pokorný et al. 2010, Norby and Zak

Table 1. The effect size of treatment responses for given variables for treatments in whole-tree chambers, in percentage, relative to a whole-tree chamber at ambient [CO₂] and temperature and low nutrient availability (the chamber control treatment). Note that elevated [CO₂] and temperature changed growth only with increased nutrient availability, even though leaf photosynthesis increased.

Treatment	T _A C _A -IL ¹	T _A C _E -C	T _A C _E -IL	T _E C _A -C	T _A C _E -C	T _E C _E -C	Reference
[CO ₂] μmol mol ⁻¹	380	700	700	380	700	700	
Air temperature	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient	+2.8 °C	Ambient	+2.8 °C	
Nutrients (other nutrients in optimum ratio to N)	Optimum N	Low N	Optimum N	Low N	Low N	Low N	
Tree biomass at the end of the experiment	229%	0%	229%	0%	0%	0%	Sigurdsson et al. (2013)
Tree growth rate	100%	0%	150%	0%	0%	0%	Sigurdsson et al. (2013)
Annual shoot C uptake				20%	44%	50%	Hall et al. (2013)
Slope of A _{max} ² with foliar N				0%	122%	122%	Hall et al. (2013)
Initial slope of the light response curve				45%	52%	52%	Hall et al. (2013)
C _a -C _i ³ (μmol mol ⁻¹) from wood δ ¹³ C, year = 2000	-17%	38%	60%				Marshall and Linder (2013)
No. of tracheids	50%						Kalliokoski et al. (2013)
Days of tracheid formation	34%						Kalliokoski et al. (2013)
C uptake March 1 to June 30				44%	33%	61%	Wallin et al. (2013)
Date when apparent quantum yield reached 90% of the maximum value				-38%	-9%	-36%	Wallin et al. (2013)
Date when A _{sat} ⁴ reached 90% of the maximum value				-15%	-8%	-22%	Wallin et al. (2013)
Net photosynthesis		67%					Uddling and Wallin (2012)
V _{Cmax} ⁵		-19%					Uddling and Wallin (2012)
Dark respiration		44%					Uddling and Wallin (2012)
Dark respiration: net photosynthesis		-14%					Uddling and Wallin (2012)
Mean photosynthesis of expanded shoots				0%	33%	30%	Hall et al. (2009)
Light-saturated photosynthesis ⁶	14%	50%	55%				Robertz and Stockfors (1998)

T, temperature; C, [CO₂]; A, ambient; E, elevated; -IL, irrigated with optimum nutrition; -C, rain-fed.

¹Abbreviations for treatments used in references.

²Maximum photosynthesis.

³Difference between ambient and intercellular [CO₂].

⁴Photosynthesis at saturating light.

⁵Maximum rate of carboxylation.

⁶Experiment done with branch bags, not in whole-tree chambers.

2011, Sigurdsson et al. 2013). As predicted by models that linked photosynthesis and soil nutrition to estimate biomass growth (Rastetter et al. 1991, 1992, McMurtrie et al. 1992, Comins and McMurtrie 1993, Kirschbaum et al. 1994, McMurtrie and Comins 1996, Rastetter et al. 2005), studies in both boreal and temperate forests have now clearly linked resource availability (primarily nutrients but also water) to the use of increased photosynthesis under elevated [CO₂] to increase biomass growth (Curtis and Wang 1998, Oren et al. 2001, Sigurdsson et al. 2002, Norby et al. 2010, Norby and Zak 2011).

The responses of photosynthesis and growth to nutrition, temperature and [CO₂] at Flakaliden are the strongest support yet that nutrient availability is a critical control of [CO₂] response. At Flakaliden, the increased photosynthesis in elevated [CO₂] or under higher air temperature only increased tree growth when nutrient supply was increased (Table 1, Sigurdsson et al. 2013). Optimally fertilized trees at an elevated [CO₂] of ~700 ppm annually grew ~25% more than the optimally fertilized trees at ambient [CO₂] (Sigurdsson et al. 2013)—the trees in the elevated [CO₂], increased air tempera-

ture or increased air temperature plus elevated [CO₂] treatments (all in the control treatment with poor natural nutrient availability) did not show any increased biomass growth after 3 years of treatment (Sigurdsson et al. 2013).

What is the mechanism by which increased nutrient supply increases wood growth, and where elevated [CO₂] amplifies wood growth under optimal nutrient supply? At the level of tracheid formation, optimized nutrition resulted in the formation of more tracheids (~50%) and extended the duration of tracheid formation by 20–50%, compared with control trees (Kalliokoski et al. 2013). Much of the >4× wood production for the optimally fertilized trees (Bergh et al. 1999) was a result of an enhanced tracheid formation rate during the middle of the growth season (Kalliokoski et al. 2013). Temperature appears to drive the initiation and ending of tracheid formation, but the tracheid formation rate appears to respond largely to nutrition (Kalliokoski et al. 2013).

The exact mechanism by which trees sense increased nutrient availability and what triggers a higher rate of tracheid formation and growth in other tissues are unknown. Increased nutrient

supply increases internal N (Näsholm et al. 1994), foliar N (Linder 1995), maximum photosynthesis (Roberntz 2001), and tree and forest leaf area (Bergh et al. 1999, Sigurdsson et al. 2013). Increased nutrient and water availability can also decrease the absolute flux of photosynthetic sugars belowground and/or decrease the proportion of annual photosynthesis going belowground (Linder and Axelsson 1982, Ryan et al. 2004, 2010, Palmroth et al. 2006, Litton et al. 2007). At Flakaliden, optimum nutrition increased fine root production and turnover, compared with control plots (Majdi 2001, Majdi and Andersson 2005), and increased soil temperature amplified the effect (Majdi and Öhrvik 2004). On a forest basis at Flakaliden, however, increased nutrients decreased both autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration compared with that of controls (Olsson et al. 2005), which suggests that both the flux to belowground and the partitioning of photosynthesis to belowground decreased under increased nutrients (Giardina and Ryan 2002). An increase in growth efficiency for the optimally fertilized treatments compared with controls (Bergh et al. 2005, Sigurdsson et al. 2013) also suggests that either photosynthesis or partitioning to wood, or both, increased with greater nutrient availability.

Research at Flakaliden provides important insights into the mechanism behind the widely observed correlations of annual tree ring growth with temperature, because experimental increases in soil and aboveground air temperature were both done at the site. The response to elevated air temperature of photosynthesis and the lack of response of wood growth for the same trees described above suggest that carbon supply does not limit growth for the nutrient-poor treatment. A soil warming treatment at the same site, from spring to late autumn (5 °C, no aboveground warming), increased aboveground growth by 115% after 6 years, compared with the control stand in non-heated soil, because warming resulted in earlier and faster spring recovery of photosynthesis (Bergh and Linder 1999), and increased decomposition and nutrient supply (Strömgren and Linder 2002). Soil warming also increased wood growth in optimally fertilized and irrigated plots relative to the non-heated (but optimally fertilized and irrigated) controls (Strömgren and Linder 2002). The increased growth in the heated and optimally fertilized and irrigated plots suggests that soil warming either increased nutrient availability over that supplied in the experiment, or warmer soils enabled more nutrient uptake over a longer 'root activity' season.

What happens to the increased carbohydrate supply from increased photosynthesis under elevated [CO₂] when nutrient supply is poor and biomass growth does not occur? Sigurdsson et al. (2013) present an excellent discussion of the possibilities, including increased foliar respiration (Roberntz and Stockfors 1998, Uddling and Wallin 2012), increased stem CO₂ efflux (Ceschia 2001), increased allocation to non-woody parts (Sigurdsson et al. 2013) and increased belowground flux to capture more nutrients (Comstedt et al. 2006). At the Duke

FACE experiment, elevated [CO₂] increased carbon flux belowground (Palmroth et al. 2006, Drake et al. 2011), including exudates (Phillips et al. 2009) and stimulated nutrient acquisition (Phillips et al. 2011). Shifts in mycorrhizal composition under elevated [CO₂] in the nutrient-poor treatment at Flakaliden suggested increased carbon allocation for nutrient uptake (Fransson et al. 2001).

Other factors besides nutrition may also limit the ability of trees to use the sugars produced by increased photosynthesis under elevated [CO₂]. Forest-level [CO₂] studies at the Duke FACE study demonstrated that soil water availability is also an important limiting control for the biomass growth response to elevated [CO₂] (McCarthy et al. 2010). Mature trees may also not respond to elevated [CO₂] by increasing growth (Körner et al. 2005) even with a sustained increase in photosynthesis (Bader et al. 2010), because of physiological limitations to growth (Woodruff et al. 2004, Ryan et al. 2006). The increased photosynthetic response of many trees and plants in cold temperatures and treelines to elevated [CO₂] (Inauen et al. 2012, Dawes et al. 2013) may not translate to growth because of the effects of cold temperature on cell division (Körner 2003, Dawes et al. 2011). Elevated [CO₂] may also increase susceptibility to frost damage (Martin et al. 2010).

The results from the [CO₂] × nutrition and [CO₂] × temperature experiments at Flakaliden and elsewhere and the results for mature trees, where low soil water availability and low temperature limit growth, suggest that we should explore a different approach than currently used for predicting future terrestrial ecosystem responses to global change. Current approaches used to model global change effects in a wide variety of models (Smith and Dukes 2013) suggest that growth can be estimated from an accumulation of leaf-level photosynthesis, and that leaf-level and canopy photosynthesis can be estimated from leaf-level responses to environment, nutrition and [CO₂]. The Flakaliden results alone should thoroughly disabuse us of the notion that an understanding of leaf-level photosynthesis to elevated [CO₂] and temperature will allow any prediction of the response of biomass growth or ecosystem carbon storage. Forests growing under nutrient limitations, low soil water and cold temperature are widespread, as are forests with mature trees. An understanding of how these other factors limit growth (Körner 2003) and how photosynthesis interacts with growth will be necessary to accurately model global change responses.

The legacy of Flakaliden

Perhaps the greatest contribution of Flakaliden is the very rich data set that includes numerous measurements in response to a variety of experimental manipulations that were measured over long-term studies or that used the now 27-year nutrient optimization treatment to assess long-term responses. These data have provided a wealth of material for many modeling studies

and for many cross-site comparisons of ecosystem function and remote sensing (see Appendix S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Maintenance of the original experiment has likely been challenging at times because of the short duration of most grants. That the site was established and the experiments maintained is a fitting legacy for Sune Linder, whose vision and persistence enabled this research.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data for this article are available at *Tree Physiology* Online.

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to David Whitehead, Sune Linder and an anonymous reviewer for helpful suggestions, and particularly to Sune Linder for compiling the Flakaliden Bibliography.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy. *New Phytol* 165:351–371.
- Bader MKF, Siegwolf R, Körner C (2010) Sustained enhancement of photosynthesis in mature deciduous forest trees after 8 years of free air CO₂ enrichment. *Planta* 232:1115–1125.
- Barton CVM, Ellsworth DS, Medlyn BE et al. (2010) Whole-tree chambers for elevated atmospheric CO₂ experimentation and tree scale flux measurements in south-eastern Australia: the Hawkesbury Forest Experiment. *Agric For Meteorol* 150:941–951.
- Barton CVM, Duursma RA, Medlyn BE et al. (2012) Effects of elevated atmospheric [CO₂] on instantaneous transpiration efficiency at leaf and canopy scales in *Eucalyptus saligna*. *Glob Change Biol* 18:585–595.
- Bergh J, Linder S (1999) Effects of soil warming during spring on photosynthetic recovery in boreal Norway spruce stands. *Glob Change Biol* 5:245–253.
- Bergh J, McMurtrie RE, Linder S (1998) Climatic factors controlling the productivity of Norway spruce: a model-based analysis. *For Ecol Manage* 110:127–139.
- Bergh J, Linder S, Lundmark T, Elfving B (1999) The effect of water and nutrient availability on the productivity of Norway spruce in northern and southern Sweden. *For Ecol Manage* 119:51–62.
- Bergh J, Linder S, Bergström J (2005) Potential production of Norway spruce in Sweden. *For Ecol Manage* 204:1–10.
- Binkley D, Högberg P (1997) Does atmospheric deposition of nitrogen threaten Swedish forests? *For Ecol Manage* 92:119–152.
- Briffa KR, Bartholin TS, Eckstein D, Jones PD, Karlen W, Schweingruber FH, Zetterberg P (1990) A 1,400-year tree-ring record of summer temperatures in Fennoscandia. *Nature* 346:434–439.
- Ceschia E (2001) Environmental effects on spatial and seasonal variations of stem respiration in European beech and Norway spruce. Doctoral thesis, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Acta Univ Agric Suec, Silvestria 219, ISBN 91-576-6303-3, 56p.
- Comins HN, McMurtrie RE (1993) Long-term response of nutrient-limited forests to CO₂ enrichment—equilibrium behavior of plant-soil models. *Ecol Appl* 3:666–681.
- Comstedt D, Boström B, Marshall JD, Holm A, Slaney M, Linder S, Ekblad A (2006) Effects of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature on soil respiration in a boreal forest using delta C-13 as a labeling tool. *Ecosystems* 9:1266–1277.
- Curtis PS (1996) A meta-analysis of leaf gas exchange and nitrogen in trees grown under elevated carbon dioxide. *Plant Cell Environ* 19:127–137.
- Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form and physiology. *Oecologia* 113:299–313.
- Dawes MA, Hättenschwiler S, Bebi P, Hagedorn F, Handa IT, Körner C, Rixen C (2011) Species-specific tree growth responses to 9 years of CO₂ enrichment at the alpine treeline. *J Ecol* 99:383–394.
- Dawes MA, Hagedorn F, Handa IT, Streit K, Ekblad A, Rixen C, Körner C, Hättenschwiler S (2013) An alpine treeline in a carbon dioxide-rich world: synthesis of a nine-year free-air carbon dioxide enrichment study. *Oecologia* 171:623–637.
- Drake JE, Gallet-Budynek A, Hofmöckel KS et al. (2011) Increases in the flux of carbon belowground stimulate nitrogen uptake and sustain the long-term enhancement of forest productivity under elevated CO₂. *Ecol Lett* 14:349–357.
- Eliasson PE, McMurtrie RE, Pepper DA, Strömberg M, Linder S, Ågren GI (2005) The response of heterotrophic CO₂ flux to soil warming. *Glob Change Biol* 11:167–181.
- Fransson PMA, Taylor AFS, Finlay RD (2000) Effects of continuous optimal fertilization on belowground ectomycorrhizal community structure in a Norway spruce forest. *Tree Physiol* 20:599–606.
- Fransson PMA, Taylor AFS, Finlay RD (2001) Elevated atmospheric CO₂ alters root symbiont community structure in forest trees. *New Phytol* 152:431–442.
- Giardina CP, Ryan MG (2002) Total belowground carbon allocation in a fast growing *Eucalyptus* plantation estimated using a carbon balance approach. *Ecosystems* 5:487–499.
- Hall M, Rantfors M, Slaney M, Linder S, Wallin G (2009) Carbon dioxide exchange of buds and developing shoots of boreal Norway spruce exposed to elevated or ambient CO₂ concentration and temperature in whole-tree chambers. *Tree Physiol* 29:467–481.
- Hall M, Medlyn BE, Abramowitz G, Franklin O, Rantfors M, Linder S, Wallin G (2013) Which are the most important parameters for modeling carbon assimilation in boreal Norway spruce under elevated [CO₂] and temperature conditions? *Tree Physiol* 33:1156–1176.
- Henttonen H (1984) The dependence of annual ring increases on some climatic factors. *Acta For Fenn* 186:1–38.
- Hyvönen R, Ågren GI, Linder S et al. (2007) The likely impact of elevated [CO₂], nitrogen deposition, increased temperature and management on carbon sequestration in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems: a literature review. *New Phytol* 173:463–480.
- Inauen N, Körner C, Hiltbrunner E (2012) No growth stimulation by CO₂ enrichment in alpine glacier forefield plants. *Glob Change Biol* 18:985–999.
- Ingestad T (1979) Mineral nutrient requirements of *Pinus silvestris* and *Picea abies* seedlings. *Physiol Plant* 45:373–380.
- Jarvis PG, Leverenz JW (1983) Productivity of temperate, deciduous and evergreen forests encyclopedia of plant physiology. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H (eds) *Physiological plant ecology IV ecosystem processes: mineral cycling, productivity, and man's influence*. Springer, Berlin, pp 233–280.
- Kalliokoski T, Mäkinen H, Jyske T, Nöjd P, Linder S (2013) Effects of nutrient optimization on intra-annual wood formation in Norway spruce. *Tree Physiol* 33:1145–1155.

- Kirschbaum MUF, King DA, Comins HN et al. (1994) Modeling forest response to increasing CO₂ concentration under nutrient-limited conditions. *Plant Cell Environ* 17:1081–1099.
- Koch GW, Mooney HA (1996) Response of terrestrial ecosystems to elevated CO₂: a synthesis and summary. In: Koch GW, Mooney HA (eds) *Carbon dioxide and terrestrial ecosystems*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 415–429.
- Körner C (2003) Carbon limitation in trees. *J Ecol* 91:4–17.
- Körner C (2006) Plant CO₂ responses: an issue of definition, time and resource supply. *New Phytol* 172:393–411.
- Körner C, Asshoff R, Bignucolo O, Hättenschwiler S, Keel SG, Pelaez-Riedl S, Pepin S, Siegwolf RTW, Zotz G (2005) Carbon flux and growth in mature deciduous forest trees exposed to elevated CO₂. *Science* 309:1360–1362.
- Kubiske ME, Pregitzer KS, Zak DR, Mikan CJ (1998) Growth and C allocation of *Populus tremuloides* genotypes in response to atmospheric CO₂ and soil N availability. *New Phytol* 140:251–260.
- Linder S (1985) Potential and actual production in Australian forest stands. In: Landsberg JJ, Parsons W (eds) *Research for forest management*. CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia, pp 11–35.
- Linder S (1995) Foliar analysis for detecting and correcting nutrient imbalances in Norway spruce. *Ecol Bull* 44:178–190.
- Linder S, Axelsson B (1982) Changes in carbon uptake and allocation patterns as a result of irrigation and fertilization in a young *Pinus sylvestris* stand. In: Waring RH (ed) *Carbon uptake and allocation in subalpine ecosystems as a key to management: proceedings of an IUFRO workshop*. Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA, pp 38–44.
- Litton CM, Raich JW, Ryan MG (2007) Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems. *Glob Change Biol* 13:2089–2109.
- Lloyd AH, Bunn AG, Berner L (2011) A latitudinal gradient in tree growth response to climate warming in the Siberian taiga. *Glob Change Biol* 17:1935–1945.
- Lukac M, Calfapietra C, Lagomarsino A, Loreto F (2010) Global climate change and tree nutrition: effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature. *Tree Physiol* 30:1209–1220.
- Luo YQ, Wan SQ, Hui DF, Wallace LL (2001) Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* 413:622–625.
- Majdi H (2001) Changes in fine root production and longevity in relation to water and nutrient availability in a Norway spruce stand in northern Sweden. *Tree Physiol* 21:1057–1061.
- Majdi H, Andersson P (2005) Fine root production and turnover in a Norway spruce stand in northern Sweden: effects of nitrogen and water manipulation. *Ecosystems* 8:191–199.
- Majdi H, Öhrvik J (2004) Interactive effects of soil warming and fertilization on root production, mortality, and longevity in a Norway spruce stand in Northern Sweden. *Glob Change Biol* 10:182–188.
- Marshall JD, Linder S (2013) Mineral nutrition and elevated [CO₂] interact to modify δ¹³C, an index of gas exchange, in Norway spruce. *Tree Physiol* 33:1132–1144.
- Martin M, Gavazov K, Körner C, Hättenschwiler S, Rixen C (2010) Reduced early growing season freezing resistance in alpine treeline plants under elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Glob Change Biol* 16:1057–1070.
- McCarthy HR, Oren R, Johnsen KH, Gallet-Budynek A, Pritchard SG, Cook CW, LaDeau SL, Jackson RB, Finzi AC (2010) Re-assessment of plant carbon dynamics at the Duke free-air CO₂ enrichment site: interactions of atmospheric [CO₂] with nitrogen and water availability over stand development. *New Phytol* 185:514–528.
- McMurtrie RE, Comins HN (1996) The temporal response of forest ecosystems to doubled atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Glob Change Biol* 2:49–57.
- McMurtrie RE, Comins HN, Kirschbaum MUF, Wang YP (1992) Modifying existing forest growth-models to take account of effects of elevated CO₂. *Aust J Bot* 40:657–677.
- McMurtrie RE, Dewar RC, Medlyn BE, Jeffreys MP (2000) Effects of elevated CO₂ on forest growth and carbon storage: a modelling analysis of the consequences of changes in litter quality/quantity and root exudation. *Plant Soil* 224:135–152.
- McMurtrie RE, Medlyn BE, Dewar RC (2001) Increased understanding of nutrient immobilization in soil organic matter is critical for predicting the carbon sink strength of forest ecosystems over the next 100 years. *Tree Physiol* 21:831–839.
- Medhurst J, Parsby J, Linder S, Wallin G, Ceschia E, Slaney M (2006) A whole-tree chamber system for examining tree-level physiological responses of field-grown trees to environmental variation and climate change. *Plant Cell Environ* 29:1853–1869.
- Medlyn BE, Badeck FW, De Pury DGG et al. (1999) Effects of elevated CO₂ on photosynthesis in European forest species: a meta-analysis of model parameters. *Plant Cell Environ* 22:1475–1495.
- Medlyn BE, McMurtrie RE, Dewar RC, Jeffreys MP (2000) Soil processes dominate the long-term response of forest net primary productivity to increased temperature and atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Can J For Res* 30:873–888.
- Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Aber JD et al. (2002) Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system. *Science* 298:2173–2176.
- Miina J (2000) Dependence of tree-ring, earlywood and latewood indices of Scots pine and Norway spruce on climatic factors in eastern Finland. *Ecol Model* 132:259–273.
- Monteith JL (1977) Climate and efficiency of crop production in Britain. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci* 281:277–294.
- Näsholm T, Edfast AB, Ericsson A, Norden LG (1994) Accumulation of amino-acids in some boreal forest plants in response to increased nitrogen availability. *New Phytol* 126:137–143.
- Norby RJ, Zak DR (2011) Ecological lessons learned from free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 42:181–203.
- Norby RJ, Warren JM, Iversen CM, Medlyn BE, McMurtrie RE (2010) CO₂ Enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:19368–19373.
- Nowak RS, Ellsworth DS, Smith SD (2004) Functional responses of plants to elevated atmospheric CO₂—do photosynthetic and productivity data from FACE experiments support early predictions? *New Phytol* 162:253–280.
- Olsson P, Linder S, Giesler R, Högborg P (2005) Fertilization of boreal forest reduces both autotrophic and heterotrophic soil respiration. *Glob Change Biol* 11:1745–1753.
- Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH et al. (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature* 411:469–472.
- Palmroth S, Stenberg P, Smolander S, Voipio P, Smolander H (2002) Fertilization has little effect on light-interception efficiency of *Picea abies* shoots. *Tree Physiol* 22:1185–1192.
- Palmroth S, Oren R, McCarthy HR, Johnsen KH, Finzi AC, Butnor JR, Ryan MG, Schlesinger WH (2006) Aboveground sink strength in forests controls the allocation of carbon below ground and its [CO₂]—induced enhancement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:19362–19367.
- Peltola H, Kilpeläinen A, Kellomäki S (2002) Diameter growth of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) trees grown at elevated temperature and carbon dioxide concentration under boreal conditions. *Tree Physiol* 22:963–972.
- Pepper DA, Del Grosso SJ, McMurtrie RE, Parton WJ (2005) Simulated carbon sink response of shortgrass steppe, tallgrass prairie and forest ecosystems to rising CO₂, temperature and nitrogen input. *Glob BiogeochemCyc* 19:1–20, GB1004, doi:10.1029/2004GB002226.
- Phillips N, Bergh J, Oren R, Linder S (2001) Effects of nutrition and soil water availability on water use in a Norway spruce stand. *Tree Physiol* 21:851–860.
- Phillips RP, Bernhardt ES, Schlesinger WH (2009) Elevated CO₂ increases root exudation from loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) seedlings as an N-mediated response. *Tree Physiol* 29:1513–1523.

- Phillips RP, Finzi AC, Bernhardt ES (2011) Enhanced root exudation induces microbial feedbacks to N cycling in a pine forest under long-term CO₂ fumigation. *Ecol Lett* 14:187–194.
- Pokorný R, Tomášková I, Drápelová I, Kulhavý J, Marek MV (2010) Long-term effects of CO₂ enrichment on bud phenology and shoot growth patterns of Norway spruce juvenile trees. *J For Sci* 56:251–257.
- Rastetter EB, Ryan MG, Shaver GR, Melillo JM, Nadelhoffer KJ, Hobbie JE, Aber JD (1991) A general biogeochemical model describing the responses of the C and N cycles in terrestrial ecosystems to changes in CO₂, climate, and N deposition. *Tree Physiol* 9:101–126.
- Rastetter EB, McKane RB, Shaver GR, Melillo JM (1992) Changes in C-storage by terrestrial ecosystems—How C–N interactions restrict responses to CO₂ and temperature. *Water Air Soil Pollut* 64:327–344.
- Rastetter EB, Perakis SS, Shaver GR, Ågren GI (2005) Terrestrial C sequestration at elevated-CO₂ and temperature: the role of dissolved organic N loss. *Ecol Appl* 15:71–86.
- Riikonen J, Kets K, Darbah J, Oksanen E, Sober A, Vapaavuori E, Kubiske ME, Nelson N, Karnosky DF (2008) Carbon gain and bud physiology in *Populus tremuloides* and *Betula papyrifera* grown under long-term exposure to elevated concentrations of CO₂ and O₃. *Tree Physiol* 28:243–254.
- Robertz P (2001) Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration, nitrogen availability, temperature and the photosynthetic capacity of current-year Norway spruce shoots. *Tree Physiol* 21:931–940.
- Robertz P, Stockfors J (1998) Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and nutrition on net photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and needle respiration of field-grown Norway spruce trees. *Tree Physiol* 18:233–241.
- Ryan MG, Binkley D, Fownes JH, Giardina CP, Senock RS (2004) An experimental test of the causes of forest growth decline with stand age. *Ecol Monogr* 74:393–414.
- Ryan MG, Phillips N, Bond BJ (2006) The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited. *Plant Cell Environ* 29:367–381.
- Ryan MG, Stape JL, Binkley D et al. (2010) Factors controlling *Eucalyptus* productivity: How water availability and stand structure alter production and carbon allocation. *For Ecol Manage* 259:1695–1703.
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath JO (1998) Tansley review no. 98. Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. *New Phytol* 139:395–436.
- Seo JW, Eckstein D, Jalkanen R, Rickebusch S, Schmitt U (2008) Estimating the onset of cambial activity in Scots pine in northern Finland by means of the heat-sum approach. *Tree Physiol* 28:105–112.
- Sigurdsson BD, Thorgeirsson H, Linder S (2001) Growth and dry-matter partitioning of young *Populus trichocarpa* in response to carbon dioxide concentration and mineral nutrient availability. *Tree Physiol* 21:941–950.
- Sigurdsson BD, Robertz P, Freeman M, Naess M, Saxe H, Thorgeirsson H, Linder S (2002) Impact studies on Nordic forests: effects of elevated CO₂ and fertilization on gas exchange. *Can J For Res* 32:779–788.
- Sigurdsson BD, Medhurst J, Wallin G, Eggertsson O, Linder S (2013) Growth of mature boreal Norway spruce was not affected by elevated [CO₂] and/or air temperature unless nutrient availability was improved. *Tree Physiol* 33:1192–1205.
- Sjörs H (1999) The background: geology, climate and zonation. In: Rydin H, Snoeijis P, Dickmann M (eds) Swedish plant geography. *Acta Phytogeogr Suec* 84:1–15.
- Slaney M, Wallin G, Medhurst J, Linder S (2007) Impact of elevated carbon dioxide concentration and temperature on bud burst and shoot growth of boreal Norway spruce. *Tree Physiol* 27:301–312.
- Smith NG, Dukes JS (2013) Plant respiration and photosynthesis in global-scale models: incorporating acclimation to temperature and CO₂. *Glob Change Biol* 19:45–63.
- Stenberg P, Linder S, Smolander H (1995) Variation in the ratio of shoot silhouette area to needle area in fertilized and unfertilized Norway spruce trees. *Tree Physiol* 15:705–712.
- Stenberg P, Kangas T, Smolander H, Linder S (1999) Shoot structure, canopy openness, and light interception in Norway spruce. *Plant Cell Environ* 22:1133–1142.
- Strengbom J, Dahlberg A, Larsson A, Lindelöw Å, Sandström J, Widenfalk O, Gustafsson L (2011) Introducing intensively managed spruce plantations in Swedish forest landscapes will impair biodiversity decline [sic]. *Forests* 2:610–630.
- Strömberg M (2001) Soil-surface CO₂ flux and growth in a Norway spruce stand. Doctoral thesis, Department for Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Acta Univ Agric Suec, Silvestra 220, 44p, ISBN 91-576-6304-1.
- Strömberg M, Linder S (2002) Effects of nutrition and soil warming on stemwood production in a boreal Norway spruce stand. *Glob Change Biol* 8:1195–1204.
- Tamm CO (1991) Nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. Questions of productivity, vegetational changes, and ecosystem stability. *Ecological studies* 81. Springer, Berlin, 161 pp.
- Uddling J, Wallin G (2012) Interacting effects of elevated CO₂ and weather variability on photosynthesis of mature boreal Norway spruce agree with biochemical model predictions. *Tree Physiol* 32:1509–1521.
- Wallin G, Skarby L, Sellden G (1990) Long-term exposure of Norway spruce, *Picea abies* (L.) Karst., to ozone in open-top chambers. I. Effects on the capacity of net photosynthesis, dark respiration and leaf conductance of shoots of different ages. *New Phytol* 115:335–344.
- Wallin G, Hall M, Slaney M, Rantfors M, Medhurst J, Linder S (2013) Spring photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce under conditions of elevated [CO₂] and air temperature. *Tree Physiol* 33:1177–1191.
- Wang D, Heckathorn SA, Wang XZ, Philpott SM (2012) A meta-analysis of plant physiological and growth responses to temperature and elevated CO₂. *Oecologia* 169:1–13.
- Waring RH (1983) Estimating forest growth and efficiency in relation to canopy leaf area. *Adv Ecol Res* 13:327–354.
- Way DA, Oren R (2010) Differential responses in growth temperature between trees from different functional groups and biomes: a review and synthesis of data. *Tree Physiol* 30:669–688.
- Woodruff DR, Bond BJ, Meinzer FC (2004) Does turgor limit growth in tall trees? *Plant Cell Environ* 27:229–236.